

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Me

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/107,787	06/30/98	BUCHANAN		J	10000-1
		IM22/0607	乛		EXAMINER
RONALD A BLEEKER MOBIL OIL CORPORATION		and a finding date of the first fact of		BUSHE ART UNIT	PAPERENUMBER
3225 GALLO FAIRFAX VA		Ç., 3		1724	10
				DATE MAILED:	: 06/07/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/107,787

Applicant(s)

BUCHANAN ET AL

Examiner

Scott Bushey

Group Art Unit 1724



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 12, 2000	
★ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to re application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 10 and 13-16	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	view, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is ppproved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$oxed{oxed}$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
_ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
received in this national stage application from the Inter	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	OLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/107,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims 10, and 13-16 drawn to an invention or species nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The declaration does not acknowledge the filing of the provisional application 60/065,498 filed November 19, 1997 upon which applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is based. A new oath or declaration is required in the body of which the provisional application should be identified by application number and filing date, and wherein the claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) should be made.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/107,787

Art Unit: 1724

4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sheinman (Figs. 1 and 2; col. 2, line 26 through col. 3, line 1).

Applicant should note that the liquid enters the cyclonic device from a tray outside located outside of the sidewalls of the device. The liquid collects on the tray until it reaches a level equal to the top end of plenum or downcomer (7), at which time it flows downwardly through (7) to the lower end thereof wherein the liquid exits pipe (7) through the sidewall thereof near the bottom end of the cyclonic region. Applicant should also recognize that outlets (5) arranged in the sidewall of the cyclonic device are provided between the first set of spin devices (11) and the second set of spin devices (12), the second set of spin devices being arranged near (or "at about") the midpoint of the cyclonic device and occupying about 15% of the elevation of the cyclonic device.

5. Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Sheinman's vanes are not located at the mid-point of his device, which applicant alleges is required by instant claim 11. However, applicant's claims are not nearly as precise as his arguments, since instant claim 11 requires only that the vanes are "at about the mid-point" of the continuous side wall. The use of the term "about" renders the claim sufficiently broad with respect to the vane positioning to allow for the proper application of the teaching (Fig. 1) of the Sheinman reference against instant claims 11 and 12 as anticipatory thereof under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Application/Control Number: 09/107,787

Art Unit: 1724

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office

Page 4

action, i.e., the rejection of newly presented claims 11 and 12 over previously applied art that was

previously applied under the same statute as in this Final rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION

IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (703) 308-3581.

csb

June 5, 2000

C. SCOTT BUSHEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1990

H M

6-5-00